MVC and Friends

Joshua Charles Campbell

Department of Computing Science University of Alberta

Febuary 2014









Arch Model



Core

• Formal Domain Data Represenations

- Economical and Unambiguous
- What you would serialize

• Informal Domain Data Representations

- May contain redudant data, non-canonical forms, etc.
- Think "non-normalized"

Core cont.

- Data representations about the fundamental "things" the application is working with
- Logic enforcing data constraints
 - Prevent data representations which are invalid in the domain

Core cont.

- Logic relating multiple core data representations
 - Model Evolution
 - Converting to other fundamental representations
- This forms the Functional Core in the Arch Model

Core Adaptor

- Logic and data provided for the use of any/multiple user interfaces
- Connects user interfaces to the core using the core data representations

Dialogue Component

- All of the *UI-specific* but *toolkit-independent* data represenations and logic
- May contain all kinds of stuff that the core wouldn't
 - Application States, feedback for the user, redundant data forms
 - Sequencing and consistency logic

Dialogue Component

- Gets core data representations from the core / core adapter
- Sends toolkit-independent data representations to the presenter
- Fowler calls this the *presentation model*

Presenter

- Format data to be passed to the toolkit library
- Format data in a **toolkit-independent** form for the dialogue
- Interfaces the toolkit to dialogue
- Presentation Component of the Arch Model

The Interaction Toolkit

- Knows nothing about the model or the user interface
- Checkboxes, scrollbars, windows, layouts, picture boxes, etc.

Model-View-Controller

- Every View must have a reference to a controller and a model
- Every Controller must have a reference to a model
- Multiple View-Controller pairs may share a single model simultaneously

Active Model MVC

- Recommended
- The model has a reference to views needing update
- aka Observer Synchronization

Active Model MVC



Passive Model MVC

- Not recommended
- The controller has a reference to views needing update
- aka Flow Synchronization

Passive Model MVC



Model-View-Controller

According to most modern sources:

- Model contains the core, core adapter
 - logic to enforce consistency
 - logic to enforce sequencing
- Controller contains **presenter** and **dialogue** input
 - All logic that interprets user actions as modifications for the model
- View has **presenter** and **dialogue** output
 - All logic that makes the model ready for the toolkit
- We get the actual toolkit from someone else

Model-View-Controller cont.

- Model must support
 - multiple, independent view-controller pairs
 - which are completely ignorant of each-other
 - possibly at the same time
- Problem: leads to duplicated dialogue code
 - Ex: view state

Presentation-Model MVC

- MVC except:
 - Split the view and controller into toolkit-specific and toolkit-independent parts
 - Fixes duplication problem

Presentation-Model MVC



MVC "Classic"

- MVC ala Smalltalk 80
- Like MVC but V-C pairs implement the toolkit also
- V-C pairs contain
 - The toolkit code & data
 - Toolkit-specific code & data

MVC "Classic" cont.

- Model contains
 - The Dialogue
 - All of the core and core adapter
 - Application state, sequencing, consistency, feedback for the user, etc.

Passive-View MVC

- Model and View completely disconnected
- View is as light and generic as possible
- Controller connects the Model to the View
- all **dialogue** is in the controller
 - All application-specific logic
- what Ruby on Rails thinks of as MVC

Passive-View MVC



Interface-Control-Model

- The *model* is the **core**
 - Plus a list of things to notify on change
- The control layer consists of the dialogue
 - Much app code goes here
- The *interface layer* is **toolkit-specific**
 - Unlike View, can recieve commands in order to pass them to the control layer in a toolkit-independent way

```
Model-View-Presenter
```

- Much like Passive View
- Adds: Commands, selections and interactors

Presentation-Abstraction-Control

- Everything is connected via a heirarchy of controllers
- Models are connected to other models via the controller
- Views are connected to other views via the controller
- Two views or controllers using the same model at the same time is disallowed

Presentation-Abstraction-Control

- Views are toolkit-specific presenters and toolkits only
- Models are **core** only
- All dialogue is in the controller
 - Including output, display, formatting, etc. logic
- Like a bunch of passive-view MVCs connected by their controllers
 - instead of their models
- what Stanford University of as MVC

Presentation-Abstraction-Control



Conclusion

- MVC often refers not to MVC but to
 - Passive-view, PAC, ICM, or other systems where a lot of logic that would be in the model or view in MVC is completely inside the "controller"
- MVC almost never refers to the original, pixels-and-cursors MVC

Bibliography I



Steve Burbeck.

Applications Programming in Smalltalk-80(TM): How to use Model-View-Controller (MVC).

Smalltalk-80 v2, 5, 1992.



Frank Bushmann, Regine Meunier, Hans Rohnert, Peter Sommerlad, and Michael Stal. Pattern-oriented software architecture: A system of patterns. John Wiley&Sons, 1996.



Kyle Brown C2 Wiki. What's a Controller, Anyway?, 2013.



Martin Fowler. GUI Architectures, 2006.



Martin Fowler. Passive View, 2006.



Martin Fowler. Presentation Model, 2006.

Paul Hegarty.

MVC and Introduction to Objective-C, 2011.

Bibliography II



Greg Hendly and Eric Smith.

Separating the gui from the application. The Smalltalk Report, 1(7):19–22, 1992.



Panagiotis Markopoulos.

A compositional model for the formal specification of user interface software. PhD thesis, University of London, 1997.



Mike Potel.

Mvp: Model-viewer-presenter, 2000.



C2 Wiki.

Model View Controller, 2013.



Wikipedia.

Presentation-abstraction-control — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2014. [Online; accessed 21-February-2014].